

## Checklist:

# Feedback at DANDRITE Internal Meetings

### CONTENT

1. Was background description clear and relevant? Sufficient information or few/no definitions?
2. Hypotheses/Experimental Design – was hypotheses presented clearly and effectively addressing the aims of the project? Or unclear/confusing?
3. Implications of the study + conclusion - presented in a valid context, clear importance to current knowledge, positive impact created?
4. Did the presentation invite questions from a broad neuroscience field?

### PRESENTATION STYLE

1. How was the presentation organized?
2. How was the quality of the Power Point presentation? Creative without being distracting, facilitating comprehension?
3. Answering of questions - handled questions well, included information not in slides?
4. Engaging presentation style – gestures, eye contact with the audience?